

# **Matteo Corsalini – Church and Business Autonomy in the Secular Economy. A Comparative Study on Corporate Law and Religion**

## INTRODUCTION

## PART I - CHURCH AUTONOMY

### CHAPTER I - FRAMEWORK

- a. United Nations
  - i. The individualistic approach
    - 1. The International Covenants on Civil and Political Rights
    - 2. The Declaration on The Elimination of All Forms of Religious Intolerance and Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief
  - ii. Monitoring mechanisms
    - 1. The Human Rights Committee
    - 2. UN Special Rapporteurs
- b. European institutions
  - i. The Organisation for Security and Co-operation in Europe
    - 1. The ‘comprehensive security’ approach
    - 2. Normative Framework
  - ii. The Council of Europe
    - 1. The ‘human rights-based’ approach
    - 2. Normative framework
      - 2.1 ECommHR case-law (late 1960s-late 1990s)
      - 2.2 ECtHR case-law (2000-2010)
  - iii. The European Union
    - 1. The ‘protectionist’ approach
    - 2. The ‘competitive’ approach
- c. Conclusion

### CHAPTER II - EMPLOYMENT

- a. The European Court of Human Rights
  - i. ‘The ‘ad hoc balancing’ approach
    - 1. Lombardi Vallauri v Italy
    - 2. Obst, Schüth and Siebenhaar v Germany
  - ii. The ‘one-sided balancing’ approach
    - 1. Sindicatul ‘Păstorul cel Bun’ v Romania
    - 2. Fernández Martínez v Spain
- b. The United States Supreme Court
  - i. First Amendment
  - ii. The ‘absolutist’ approach
    - 1. Hosanna-Tabor Evangelical Lutheran Church & School v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
    - 2. Our Lady of Guadalupe School v Morrissey-Berru
- c. The Court of Justice of the European Union
  - i. Directive 2000/78/EC
  - ii. The ‘competitive’ approach
- d. Conclusion

## PART II - BUSINESS AUTONOMY

### CHAPTER III - NEUTRALITY-BASED COMPANIES

- a. The Court of Justice of the European Union
  - i. The Achbita and Bougnaoui cases
    - 1. Bougnaoui v Micropole
    - 2. Achbita v G4S Solutions
  - ii. The Achbita case: an alternative reading
    - 1. Old rules, new ‘religious’ players in the EU single market
    - 2. The moral obligation of profit-maximisation
- b. Sequel: in the aftermath of Achbita v G4S Secure Solutions
  - i. Belgian level
    - 1. The Achbita’s journey: to the Belgian Supreme Court and back (for re-examination)
    - 2. The Achbita’s alternative journey: new references for preliminary rulings to the CJEU
  - ii. CJEU level
    - 1. The Wabe and Müller cases
    - 2. The Opinion of AG Rantos and the decision of the CJEU
- c. Conclusion

### CHAPTER IV - FAITH-BASED COMPANIES

- a. The United States Supreme Court
  - i. Burwell v Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.: a constitutional law analysis
    - 1. Facts
    - 2. Analysis
  - ii. Burwell v Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.: a corporate law analysis
    - 1. Closely-held companies as FoRB claimants
    - 2. Veil piercing
- b. Sequel: in the aftermath of Burwell v Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.
  - i. Legal exemptions
    - 1. Exemptions from contraceptive coverage
    - 2. Exemptions from employment discrimination
  - ii. Constitutional exemptions
    - 1. Free Exercise exemptions
    - 2. Further developments
- c. The European Commission and Court of Human Rights
  - i. The European Commission of Human Rights
    - 1. Case-law (late 1970s)
    - 2. Case-law (late 1990s)
  - ii. The European Court of Human Rights
    - 1. Case law (early 2020s - communicated cases)
- d. Conclusion

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

## TABLE OF INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS

## TABLE OF CASES